

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,509	07/22/2003	Alex Horng	HORN3154/EM	5798
23364 7	7590 08/26/2004		EXAMINER	
BACON & T	SACON & THOMAS, PLLC		DUDA, RINA I	
625 SLATERS FOURTH FLO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314	2837		
			DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/623,509	HORNG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rina I Duda	2837			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	— s action is non-final.	•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	, -				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 22 July 2003 is/are: a)	⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• , ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv uu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	, —	(DTO 440)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summai Paper No(s)/Mail I	Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/22/03.) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal 6) ☐ Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Park (US Patent 4329630).

Claims 1 and 2, Park discloses a control system for a DC motor comprising a rectifier 124 connected to an AC power source 24 for converting the AC power into DC voltage, a voltage-stabilizing control unit 40 connected between the rectifier 124 and the motor drive system 46, a voltage-stabilizing unit Q1 connected between the voltage-stabilizing control unit and the motor drive system, wherein the voltage-stabilizing unit turn ON/OFF the motor driver.

Claim 3 and 4, Park describes a varistor 126 connected between the rectifier and the motor driver.

Claims 5 and 6, Park describes a filter capacitor 36 connected between the rectifier and the motor driver.

Claim 7, Park describes that the voltage-stabilizing unit includes operational amplifiers, resistors, capacitors, and diodes as shown in figure 6.

Claim 8, Park describes operational amplifier 328 having an input connected to a reference voltage and the other input connected to the DC voltage from the rectifier.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,509 Page 3

Art Unit: 2837

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park (US patent 4329630) and (Harlan et al (US patent 5606232).

The only difference between the teachings of Park and the subject matter of claim 9 is that claim 9 recites that the voltage-stabilizing unit is a MOSFET.

Harlan et al teach a control system for a DC motor comprising a voltagestabilizing unit 262 connected between a voltage-stabilizing control unit 240 and the motor driver 366.

It would have been obvious to use a MOSFET as a voltage-stabilizing unit, since MOSFET provide higher switching frequency.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The documents cited in PTO-892 describe other DC motor control systems using varistors, filter, and rectifiers.

Art Unit: 2837

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rina I Duda whose telephone number is 571-272-2062.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 37. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RD

PENARY EXAMINER